



HARVEY KRUSE, P.C.
1050 Wilshire Drive
Troy, Michigan 48084-1526
(248) 649-7800 (Office)
(248) 649-2316 (Office Fax)
E-Mail: asukkar@harveykruse.com

ANTHONY SUKKAR

AREAS OF PRACTICE: Collections
Construction Accidents
Contract Litigation
First and Third Party Auto
Federal and State Regulations
Civil Litigation
Personal Injury
Premises Liability
Product Liability

EDUCATION: Wayne State University Law School (J.D., 2007)
Wayne State University Law School Moot Court (2005-2007)
Michigan State University (B.A., *With Honors*, 2000)

BAR ADMISSIONS: State Bar of Michigan (2007)
U.S. Dist. Ct. for the Eastern District of Michigan (2007)
U.S. Dist. Ct. for the Western District of Michigan (2013)

EMPLOYMENT: Shareholder and Partner at HARVEY KRUSE, P.C.
(2013 to present)
Associate Attorney at HARVEY KRUSE, P.C. (2007)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Voted by peers to the list of "Super Lawyers Rising Star, 2013"

PUBLICATIONS: *2012-2013 Annual Survey of Michigan Law: Insurance Law*;
Wayne State University Law Review, 58 Wayne L. Rev. 911
(2013)

LECTURES: *Trial Preparation from Start to Finish*; Institute for Paralegal Education, Southfield, Michigan, November 16, 2012

REPRESENTATIVE CLIENTS: ACE/ESIS
Amerisure Insurance Company
Ashland Inc.
Bituminous Insurance Company
Colony Specialty
Kia Motors America, Inc.
National General Insurance Company
Victoria Insurance Company
Scottsdale Insurance Company

TRIAL AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT RECORD:

- ***Cook v. Efficient Demolition Inc.***, Genesee County Circuit Court; second chair attorney; successfully obtained jury verdict of no cause of action in negligence action arising out of construction accident.
- ***Tatro v. The Forbes Co.***, Oakland County Circuit Court; second chair attorney; successfully obtained jury verdict for damages and attorney fees on behalf of plaintiffs based on the defendant's negligence in maintaining its shopping mall and its violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Michigan Persons with Disabilities Civil Rights Act.
- ***Zwolinski v. Pizzimenti***, Michigan Court of Appeals and Wayne County Circuit Court; premises liability, negligence, and nuisance claim; the Court of Appeals affirmed summary disposition on the basis that the alleged incident was unforeseeable and did not present a danger to the public.
- ***Hyperbaric Options, LLC, et al. v. Oxy-Health, LLC, et al.***, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan; action involving defamation over the internet and across state lines; motion for dismissal was granted on the basis of lack of personal jurisdiction.
- ***Estate of Ferrin v. HDV Greektown, LLC***, Wayne County Circuit Court; wrongful death action; motion for summary disposition granted on the basis of assumption of risk and lack of duty.
- ***Burton v. El Ad Pavilion, LLC***, Oakland County Circuit Court; premises liability action; motion for summary disposition granted pursuant to the open and obvious doctrine.
- ***Hensley v. Hampton Court Apartments***, Wayne County Circuit Court; premises liability action; motion for summary disposition granted pursuant to the open and obvious doctrine.

- ***Bituminous Insurance Company v. Bowman***, Macomb County Circuit Court; trial court determined that plaintiff was a judgment creditor under Michigan's Crime Victim's Rights Act and could therefore enforce restitution order as it would an order of judgment or lien against defendant's property.
- ***Dupuis v. Graham, et al.***, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan; motion for summary judgment granted on the basis that defendant did not engage in malicious prosecution or defamation and that defendant was not a state actor under 42 USC § 1983.
- ***Wagner v. Barnes & Noble, et al.***, Oakland County Circuit Court; motion for summary disposition granted on the basis that Barnes & Noble was not negligent in the maintenance of its parking facilities.
- ***Labs v. Hyundai Motor America***, Kalamazoo County Circuit Court; Lemon Law, breach of warranty and consumer protection action; motion for summary disposition granted on the basis that the alleged defect did not amount to an objective substantial impairment.